

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 0. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/833,673 04/13/2001 | | 04/13/2001 | Shunpei Yamazaki | 12732-029001 | 2129 | |
| 26171 | 7590 | 08/19/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FISH & I | | RDSON P.C. | MENGISTU, AMARE | | | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | · | | | 2673 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005 | DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/833,673 | YAMAZAKI ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Amare Mengistu | 2673 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware | , _ | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 4,7-9,12,13,46,49-51,54 and 55 is/are 7) ☑ Claim(s) 5,6,10,11,47,48,52 and 53 is/are objective. | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | • | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4,7-9,12,13,46,49-51,54,55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Shibata et al (6,147,451)** in view of **Kimura (6,518,941)** and **Jeong (6,008,801)**.
- 3. As to claims 4,7,9.12,46,49,51,54, **Shibata et al** (hereinafter **Shibata**) clearly teaches a display device comprising: a plurality of pixels (fig.1 [2]), each comprising a light emitting element (fig.3 [20]) comprising an anode (col.4 lines 42-47), a cathode (col.4, liens 42-47) and an organic compound layer provided there between (col.4, lines 46-44), a source signal line driver (fig.2 (32) [x-driver]) including a switching circuit for switching by means of a shift signal to plurality of pixels (fig.2 (33) "sample/ hold"). Shibata has failed to teach that an organic EL in which a digital video signal input to a switching circuit by means of shift signal to be inputted into said switching circuit.

The patent of **Kimura** is cited to teach that it is well known for an EL in which a digital video signal input (fig. 1(10210-10213)) to a switching circuit (fig.1 (10310-10313)) by means of shift signal (fig.1 (101)) to be inputted into said switching circuit (fig.1 (10310-10313)).

Application/Control Number: 09/833,673 Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated the digital signal by means of shift signal into the switching circuit as taught by **Kimura** into the organic EL display system of **Shibata**, because this will provide to have an improved luminous intensity of EL elements.

Shibata (as modified by Kimura) has failed to disclose inverting the polarity of the video digital signal into said switching circuit. Jeong clearly teaches that it is conventional to inverting the polarity of a digital video signal in the switching circuit (fig.7 (POL-O, POL-E) and (MUX 250, MUX 260), col.4, lines 15-37, col.5, lines 50-56, col.6, lines 42-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have been motivated to have used **Jeong's** polarity inversion method into the system of **Shibata**, because this will reduce the power consumption and chip size for the driver.

As to claims 8,13,50,55, it is well known for a telephone, camera or head up display a PC to have be a light emitting display.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-6,10-11,47-48,52-53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-13,46-55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amare Mengistu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, T-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Amare Mengistu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2673

A.M

August 16, 2005